EXHIBIT 6

In the Matter Of:

Google Antitrust Litigation



```
1
 1
                                      VOLUME 1
                                      PAGES: 1-256
 2
                                      EXHIBITS: See Index
 3
 4
 5
     IN RE:
     GOOGLE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
 6
 7
     60-516110-0009
 8
 9
                **Highly Confidential**
10
11
12
           VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of
13
               - CONDUCTED BY VIDEOCONFERENCE -
                  Wednesday, August 11, 2021
14
                8:07 a.m. Pacific Daylight Time
15
16
17
18
19
20
                   Michelle Keegan, RMR, CRR
21
22
                            Lexitas
23
               508-478-9795 \sim 508-478.0595 (Fax)
24
                     www.LexitasLegal.com
```

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
      UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
 3
      Antitrust Division
      By: Brent Nakamura, Esq.
 4
       By: Arshia Najafi, Esq.
       450 Fifth Street, N.W.
 5
       Washington, D.C. 20530
       Phone: (202) 598-8918
 6
       Email: brent.nakamura@usdoj.gov
      Email: arshia.najafi@usdoj.qov
 7
       Counsel for the United States Department of
 8
      Justice
 9
       FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER LLP
       By: Thomas Ensign, Esq.
10
            Justin C. Simeone, Esq.
       700 13th Street, NW, 10th Floor
11
       Washington, D.C. 20005-3960
12
       Phone: (202) 777-4500
       Email: thomas.ensign@freshfields.com
13
       Email: justin.simeone@freshfields.com
       Counsel for Alphabet, Inc. and the witness
14
15
      AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDER LLP
       By: Daniel S. Bitton, Esq.
       560 Mission Street
16
       San Francisco, California 94105
       Phone: (415) 490-2000
17
       Email: dbitton@axinn.com
       Counsel for Google, Inc.
18
19
     Also Present:
20
       Ryan LaFond, Videographer
       Sue Majewski, Economist with DOJ
21
       Gordon Ahl, Paralegal with DOJ
22
                            , Alphabet Google counsel
                     , Alphabet Google counsel
23
24
```

- August 11, 2021

196 Q. And when did you have this discussion with 1 Mr. Lazarus regarding the Stonehenge program? 2 3 A. I believe this was last summer, and it's 4 continued through the present. 5 Q. So you initially had this discussion with 6 Mr. Lazarus regarding the Stonehenge program in 7 summer 2020. Is that correct? 8 A. That's my best guess. It may have been earlier, but that's my recollection of when the 9 work for Stonehenge was done. 10 MR. NAKAMURA: Gordon, could you load in 11 the chat Document 46, please. 12 13 Q. So same instruction, If you could download that document and let me know when 14 15 you've reviewed it. MR. NAKAMURA: In the meantime, I'll ask 16 the court reporter to mark this document as 17 Exhibit 7. This is a document produced 18 by Google with the Bates number beginning 19 GOOG-DOJ-12766025, ending in Bates Number -6028. 20 21 (Exhibit 7 marked for identification) Q. And let me know when you're done reviewing 22 this document. 23 24 A. Okay.

- August 11, 2021

197 Q. So let's turn to the second page of the 1 PDF ending in Bates Number 026 to the email on 2 February 19th, 2020, from 3 see that? 4 5 A. Yup. Q. What was the Single Click program? 6 7 A. That was an assessment of implications and 8 options that -- in response to potential 9 regulatory inquiries. Q. And do you see the line that says, 10 "Stonehenge will include," dot, dot, dot? Do you 11 12 see that? 13 A. Yes. Q. So is 1door part of the Stonehenge 14 15 program? 16 A. No. 17 Q. So that is an option under consideration 24

```
198
    currently with respect to Project Stonehenge but
 1
    has not yet been finally decided. Is that
 2
 3
    correct?
           MR. ENSIGN: Objection.
 4
            I'd like to speak to the witness to
 5
    determine whether it's possible to respond to
 6
 7
    these questions without divulging attorney-client
8
    communications.
            MR. NAKAMURA: Okay. Let's take a break.
 9
            THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at
10
11
    1:57 p.m.
12
            (Off the record, 1:57 p.m. to 2:05 p.m.)
13
            THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at
14
    2:05 p.m.
    BY MR. NAKAMURA:
15
            , have you had a chance to
16
    confer with your counsel?
17
18
        A. I have.
19
```

```
199
 1
            MR. ENSIGN: Objection.
 2
            I'm going to instruct the witness not to
 3
     answer the question.
 4
            Further, the document or the exhibit that
 5
     is currently in front of the witness contains
 6
     unredacted privileged communications and
 7
     privileged work product with respect to Project
8
     Stonehenge and Single Click.
            We are working on redactions and will
 9
     provide a redacted version of this exhibit to the
10
11
     department shortly.
12
            MR. NAKAMURA: So I want to understand
13
     this, Mr. Ensign. On page 1 of this document,
     ending Bates Number 025, Google has made a
14
15
     redaction. Is that correct?
16
            MR. ENSIGN: That is correct.
17
            MR. NAKAMURA: And so your claim is,
     despite having produced this to us in redacted
18
     form, you have failed to make other redactions
19
     that you believe are properly made pursuant to
20
21
     attorney-client privilege. Is that correct?
22
            MR. ENSIGN: You are correct.
            MR. NAKAMURA: And I would like to know
23
24
     what the lawyer -- who the lawyers are who are
```

- August 11, 2021

200 1 involved in Project Stonehenge with respect to 2 this particular communication such that it is 3 proper to claw it back. , you can provide 4 MR. ENSIGN: 5 the name of the attorney. 6 A. Ted Lazarus. , you testified, however, 7 Q. And, 8 that you spoke to Mr. Lazarus in the summer of 2020, yet this document predates that. 9 A. Yes. So I believe my dates were probably 10 off. 11 Q. And this is a question for your counsel. 12 13 MR. NAKAMURA: I am confused because at the bottom of page 2 at the section we were 14 15 discussing, there was only business information there, no legal information that was conveyed. 16 17 Mr. Ensign, I'd like to know your basis for clawing back what appears to entirely lack 18 email, any legal advice, and only 19 business advice. 20 MR. ENSIGN: Work product. Project Single 21 Click and Stonehenge were prepared in response to 22 the regulatory process and the antitrust matters. 23 24 MR. NAKAMURA: And is it your position

```
201
    today that any and all information relating to
 1
 2
    Project Stonehenge is properly protected by the
 3
    work product privilege?
           MR. ENSIGN: I can't take a position with
 4
 5
    respect to all information, but with respect to
    the information contained in this document, yes.
 6
 7
            MR. NAKAMURA: And so my last question on
8
    this is, your justification for clawing this
    particular email back and other sections of this
 9
    document is that the legal advice came from
10
    Mr. Ted Lazarus. Is that correct?
11
12
           MR. ENSIGN: It is work product developed
13
    upon legal advice from Ted Lazarus.
14
           MR. NAKAMURA: Okay. We will sequester
15
    this document and await your production.
            I'm sorry. Mr. Bitton, did you have
16
17
    something?
           MR. BITTON: Yeah.
18
                               I think there may well
    have been and I think testified that
19
    that's the name he recalled but that there were
20
    other lawyers involved as well.
21
22
           MR. NAKAMURA: And how long until we get a
    reproduction of this document?
23
24
           MR. ENSIGN: We are working on it now and
```